## FINAL LIST OF PRICING/MANAGED LANES SCENARIOS BEING EVALUATED FOR SR 520 (05/13/02) MATRIX SHOWING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORRIDOR OBJECTIVES AND PROPOSED TRANSPORTATION PRICING SCENARIOS

	4 LANE SCENARIO: 4 General Purpose (GP) Lanes	6 Lane Scenario: 4 GP lanes + 2 HOV lanes	8 Lane Scenario: 6 GP lanes + 2 HOV Lanes	8 Lane Scenario: 4 GP lanes + 4 HOV Lanes
1	Option 1 (Toll on SR 520)	Option 3 (Toll on SR 520)	Option 5 (Toll on SR 520)	
	Option 2 (Toll on SR 520 and I-90)	Option 4 (Toll on SR 520 and 1-90)	Option 6 (Toll on SR 520 and I-90)	Option 7 - Managed Lanes
CORRIDOR OBJECTIVES	1. AM, PM and Off-peak period pricing for SOV and HOV 2	1. AM,PM and Off-Peak period pricing for SOV and HOV 2	1. AM, PM and Off-Peak period pricing for SOV and HOV 2	1. No Pricing on GP lanes for SOV and HOV 2
	2. HOV 3+ and transit free	2. HOV 3+ and transit free in HOV lanes	2. HOV 3+ and transit free in HOV Lanes	2. HOV 3+ and transit free in Managed Lanes
				3. "Buy in" for HOV 2 into Managed Lanes
	TOLLING CONCEPT	TOLLING CONCEPT	TOLLING CONCEPT	MANAGED LANES CONCEPT
Travel time reliability	+	+	+	+
Maximize person throughput	+	+	+	+
Maximize vehicle throughput	-	_	_	+
maximize vermele un eugriput				
Maximize transit and HOV mode shares	_	+	+	+
IVIAAIITIIZE (I AIISIL AIIU I IOV IIIOUE SIIAIES	-	'	'	'
Enhance BRT speed and reliability		_	_	_
Enhance or i speed and reliability	-	T	T	T
Minimize traffic diversion	-	-	-	+

Note: '+' = meets corridor objective
'-' = does not meet corridor objective